9.	D. N. Baruah	14-11-1991	1-3-1997
10.	S. B. Roy	14-11-1991	28-1-2007
11.	N. G. Das	14-11-1991	20-4-1998
12.	J. N. Sarma	7-5-1993	1-11-2002

(d) and (e) The sanctioned strength of the Guwahati High Court is 16 Judges. At present, 12 Judges are in position, leaving 4 vacancies. The process of consultation among the concerned constitutional authorities for filling up the existing vacancies is underway. It is not possible to suggest the time which will be taken to fill up the said vacancies.

Professional Misconduct by a Supreme Court Advocate

4330. SHRI GURUDAS DAS GUPTA: Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state:

(a) whether any specific complaint of professional misconduct by one Supreme Court Advocate-on-record Mr. Dinesh Kumar Garg was received by the Bar Council of India in December, 1990, if so, what are the details thereof;

(b) what action has been taken so far on the said complaint;

(c) the reasons for the inordinate delay in disposing the said complaint now pending for more than $2^1/_2$ years;

(d) what steps Government propose to take for the speedy disposal of the said complaint; and

(e) whether Supreme Court has any specific rules/procedure to entertain the complaints of professional misconducts against its own advocates-on-record, if so, the details thereof?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF LAW, JUSTICE AND COMPANY AFFAIRS (SHRI H. R. BHARDWAJ): (a) to (d) Information is being collected and will be laid on the Table of the House.

(e) No, Sir.

Furnishing of wrong information by M/s. Puralator India Ltd., New Delhi

4331. SHRI SATISH PRADHAN : Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state :

(a) whether it is a fact that the present Company Secretary of M/s. Puralator India Ltd., New Delhi is violating all the norms/guidelines issued by the Institute of Company Secretary of India;

(b) if so, the details thereof:

(c) whether it is a fact that the company secretary is authenticating incorrect/misleading cost audit statements and is also indulgingin the furnishing of wrong information to the various departments of the Government of India; and

(d) if so, the details thereof and whether the Institute of Company Secretary propose to conduct an enquiry against him and to revoke its diploma/degree issued to him. if not. the reasons therefor?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF LAW, JUSTICE AND COMPANY AFFAIRS (SHRI H R BHARDWAI): (a) No such instance has been brought to the notice of the Institute of Company Secretary of India.

(b) Does not arise.

(c) The cost audit order issued in April, 1992 to the company in respect of paper product was withdrawn in August, 1992. Therefore, instances of authenticating incorrect/misleading cost audit statements by the Company Secretary of the company do not arise. No instances .of furnishing of wrong information by the Company Secretary of the company have been brought to the notice of Department of Company Affairs.

(d) Does not arise:

Non-submission of cost audit report by M/s. Puralator India Limited

4332. SHRI SATISH PRADHAN : Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state :

(a) whether it is a fact that the management of M/s. Puralator India Limited, New Delhi and its otherunits have not been furnishing the Cost Audit Reports in time as per Government rules and regulations during the last three years;

(b) if so, the details thereof, unit-wise; and

(c) what action Government have taken or propose to take against the said company for violating rules and regulations in this regard?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF LAW, JUSTICE AND COMPANY AFFAIRS (SHRI H. R. BHARDWAJ): (a) The cost audit order issued in April, 1992 to the company in respect of paper product for the financial year ended 31-3-1993 and also for ever alternate financial year thereafter «on-